



---

# **GCE AS MARKING SCHEME**

---

**SUMMER 2022**

**AS (NEW)  
FURTHER MATHEMATICS  
UNIT 2 FURTHER STATISTICS A  
2305U20-1**

## INTRODUCTION

This marking scheme was used by WJEC for the 2022 examination. It was finalised after detailed discussion at examiners' conferences by all the examiners involved in the assessment. The conference was held shortly after the paper was taken so that reference could be made to the full range of candidates' responses, with photocopied scripts forming the basis of discussion. The aim of the conference was to ensure that the marking scheme was interpreted and applied in the same way by all examiners.

It is hoped that this information will be of assistance to centres but it is recognised at the same time that, without the benefit of participation in the examiners' conference, teachers may have different views on certain matters of detail or interpretation.

WJEC regrets that it cannot enter into any discussion or correspondence about this marking scheme.



2 (a)	$S_{xy} = 113.16 - \frac{62.8 \times 19.4}{10}$ $S_{xy} = -8.672$ $S_{xx} = 413.44 - \frac{62.8^2}{10}$ $S_{xx} = 19.056$ $S_{yy} = 46.16 - \frac{19.4^2}{10}$ $S_{yy} = 8.524$ $r = \frac{-8.672}{\sqrt{19.056 \times 8.524}}$ $r = -0.68(0427 \dots)$	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p>	<p>B1 for each of <math>S_{xy}, S_{xx}</math> and <math>S_{yy}</math>.</p> <p>B1 for <math>r</math>.</p>
(b)	$H_0: \rho = 0 \quad H_1: \rho \neq 0$ <p>5% two tail critical value = <math>-0.6319</math>          Since <math>-0.6804 &lt; -0.6319</math> reject <math>H_0</math>.          It suggests that the rate of unemployment and the rate of wage inflation are not independent.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>FT their <math>r</math>          Accept in context          Or CV = 0.6319          Or <math>0.6804 &gt; 0.6319</math>          Only award E1 if previous three B1 awarded          E0 for categorical statements</p>
(c)	<p>Valid comment.          e.g. This should cast doubt on Amy's opinion based on her answer in (b)          Valid suggestion.          e.g. She could look at more countries.          She could come to different conclusions for different countries.          She could consider more regions within each country</p>	<p>E1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>FT their conclusion from (b)</p>
(d)	<p>The underlying distribution is bivariate normal.          The data come from a bivariate normal distribution.</p>	<p>E1</p>	<p>Total [11]</p>

3 (a)	<p>Total number of baskets, <math>T</math>, is</p> $Po((2.1 + 1.9) \times 4) \text{ or } Po(16)$ $\text{or } Po(2.1 \times 4 + 1.9 \times 4)$ $P(T = 20) = \frac{16^{20} \times e^{-16}}{20!}$ $= 0.0559$	<p>M2</p> <p>m1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>M1 for Poisson and adding. M1 for multiplying by 4.</p> <p>Dependent on M2 Use of formula or calculator cao</p>
(b) (i)	<p>Exponential distribution</p> <p>Mean time between baskets = standard deviation =</p> $\frac{1}{2.1} \times 12$ <p>5.7 minutes.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>Must be clear that 5.7... is mean AND standard deviation</p>
(b) (ii)	<p>P (Klay doesn't score for the rest of the quarter) =</p> $e^{(-1.9 \times 0.75)}$ <p>= 0.2405</p> <p>Alternative solution</p> $\lambda = 1.425$ $P(X = 0) = 0.2405$	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>(M1) (A1)</p>	<p>M1 for <math>Po(1.9 \times 0.75)</math> SC1 for <math>(e^{(-2.1 \times 0.75)} =) 0.207</math></p>
(c)	<p>Let <math>F</math> be the number of free throws he misses.</p> $F \sim B(530, 0.04)$ $P(F > 25) = 1 - P(F \leq 25)$ $= 0.169(1214 \dots)$	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p><b>Total [11]</b></p>	



<p>4 (b) (ii)</p>	$F(r) = \frac{1}{9} \int_1^r t(4-t)dt$ $= \frac{1}{9} \left[ \frac{4t^2}{2} - \frac{t^3}{3} \right]_1^r$ $= \frac{1}{9} \left[ 2r^2 - \frac{r^3}{3} - \left( 2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right]$ $= \frac{1}{9} \left( 2r^2 - \frac{r^3}{3} - \frac{5}{3} \right)$ $= \frac{1}{27} (6r^2 - r^3 - 5)$	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>m1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>M1 Attempt at integrating <math>f(t)</math> at least one power of <math>t</math> increasing by 1. Limits not required here.</p> <p>A1 Correct integration.</p> <p>m1 substituting correct limits Condone upper limit = <math>x</math> for m1 only</p> <p>oe Mark final expression for <math>1 \leq r \leq 4</math></p>
<p>(iii)</p>	$P(2 \leq R \leq 3) = F(3) - F(2)$ $= \frac{22}{27} - \frac{11}{27}$ $= \frac{11}{27}$	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p><b>Total</b> <b>[12]</b></p>	<p>oe</p> <p>FT their <math>F(r)</math> for equivalent difficulty and provided probability is valid.</p>

5	Let the random variable $X$ be the number of 6s thrown from 3 dice. If the dice are unbiased then $X \sim B(3, \frac{1}{6})$  $H_0$ : The data can be modelled by the Binomial distribution $B(3, \frac{1}{6})$ . $H_1$ : The data cannot be modelled by the Binomial distribution $B(3, \frac{1}{6})$ .	B1	si (implied by at least 3 correct expected frequencies)															
		B1	or equivalent															
	<table><tr><td>Number of sixes</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>Observed</td><td>625</td><td>384</td><td>81</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>Expected</td><td>636.574</td><td>381.944</td><td>76.389</td><td>5.093</td></tr></table>	Number of sixes	0	1	2	3	Observed	625	384	81	10	Expected	636.574	381.944	76.389	5.093	M1 A1	At least one correct. All correct.
	Number of sixes	0	1	2	3													
	Observed	625	384	81	10													
	Expected	636.574	381.944	76.389	5.093													
	Use of $\chi^2$ stat = $\sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$ or $\sum \frac{O^2}{E} - N$  $= \frac{(625 - 636.574)^2}{636.574} + \frac{(384 - 381.944)^2}{381.944} + \frac{(81 - 76.389)^2}{76.389} + \frac{(10 - 5.093)^2}{5.093}$  $= 5.23$	M1  m1  A1	Must see at least 2 terms added  $\frac{625^2}{636.574} + \frac{384^2}{381.944} + \frac{81^2}{76.389} + \frac{10^2}{5.093} - 1100$  Accept anything which rounds to 5.2															
	DF = 3 5% CV = 7.815  Since $5.23 < 7.815$ we cannot reject $H_0$ . There is insufficient evidence at the 5% level to conclude that the set of dice are not fair.	B1 B1  B1 E1	Accept other test levels. 1% CV = 11.345 10% CV = 6.251  FT their $\chi^2$ Only award E1 if all five previous B1 awarded E0 for categorical statements															
		<b>Total [11]</b>																

6 (a)	$H_0$ : Social media usage is independent of age. $H_1$ : Social media usage is not independent of age	B1	
(b)	$\frac{1266 \times 352}{1953}$  $= 228.18$ *ag	B1	oe
(c)	$s = \frac{(412 - 342.27)^2}{342.27}$ $s = 14.2(0595699...)$	M1 A1	
(d)	$(4 - 1) \times (2 - 1) = 3$ degrees of freedom.  5% CV = 7.815  Add $\chi^2$ contributions  $29.34 + 14.21 + 0.06 + 62.94 + 54.07 + 26.18$ $+ 0.11 + 115.99$ $= 302.90$  Since $302.91 > 7.815$ we can reject $H_0$ .  There is (strong) evidence to suggest that social media usage is not independent of age.	B1 B1 M1 A1 B1 E1	M1A1 if statement along the lines of "one contribution is $> 7.815$ "  FT provided $\chi^2 > 7.815$ Only award E1 if previous three B1 awarded and part (a) correct
(e)	Valid explanation. e.g. The $p$ value would not lead to rejecting $H_0$ , which is the incorrect conclusion.	E1  <b>Total [11]</b>	

7 (a)	$b = \frac{96.60984}{88.42142}$	M1	
	$b = 1.09(26 \dots)$	A1	Accept 1.1
	$a = \frac{2738.656}{30} - 1.09(26 \dots) \times \frac{2850.836}{30}$	M1	FT their 'b' for M1
	$a = -12.5(39\dots)$	A1	FT their 'b', following A0. Answer correct to 3sf
	$y = -12.5 + 1.09x$	A1	A1 FT 'their' gradient and intercept provided at least one M1 awarded.
(b)	Africa because 70 is out of the data set for Asia, The data points for Africa are closer to a straight line than those for the Arab World.	E1 E1	
		<b>Total [7]</b>	